arXiv:1511.00961v1 [stat.ME] 3 Nov 2015

The Unbiasedness Approach to Linear Regression Models

P. Vellaisamy

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400076, India.

Abstract

The linear regression models are widely used statistical techniques in numerous practical applications. The standard regression model requires several assumptions about the regressors and the error term. The regression parameters are estimated using the least-squares method. In this paper, we consider the regression model with arbitrary regressors and without the error term. An explicit expression for the regression parameters vector is obtained. The unbiasedness approach is used to estimate the regression parameters and its various properties are investigated. It is shown that the resulting unbiased estimator equals the least-squares estimator for the fixed design model. The analysis of residuals and the regression sum of squares can be carried out in a natural way. The unbiased estimator of the dispersion matrix of the unbiased estimator is also obtained. Applications to $AR(p)$ model and numerical examples are also discussed.

Keywords. *Linear regression, regression coefficients, unbiased estimator, least-squares estimator, autoregressive model.*

1 Introduction

The linear regression model is a commonly used statistical technique in practical applications (Quenonuille (1950)), because of its simplicity and its realistic nature for modeling several phenomena. For an extensive treatment of this topic, one may refer to Draper and Smith (2003) and Chatterji and Hadi (2003). In the standard multiple linear regression model $Y = \beta_1 X_1 + \ldots, \beta_p X_p + \epsilon$, it is generally assumed that the predictor variables $X_1, \ldots, X_p$ are constant (non-stochastic) and also the error term ϵ is independent of predictor variables (see, for example, Yan and Su (2009)), especially for the estimation of regression coefficients. This means that the values of $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_p)$ are controlled by an experimenter and when the experiment is repeated the values of X does not change, but Y changes as ϵ changes. These assumptions are not realistic in practice and in most experimental setups and especially in econometric situations where the changes in Y occurs mainly due to the changes in X. Even

under the stochastic regressors models, it is commonly assumed that X_i's are independent of the ϵ. It is known that when one of the X_i is correlated with ϵ_i, the ordinary least-squares (OLS) estimator becomes biased and inconsistent as well. To overcome such difficulties, an instrumental variable (IV) Z, which is highly correlated with X_i and is independent of ϵ_i, is discussed in the literature. But, finding a suitable IV is also an issue. Also, OLS estimator has smaller variance with IV estimator, though it may have bias larger that that of IV estimator.

In this paper, we consider the linear regression model with little assumptions about the predictors and treat them as random. We do not explicitly introduce the error term and thus avoid not only the associated probabilistic assumptions, but also the related issues mentioned above. Besides, we do not use the least-squares method for estimating the regression coefficients which requires vector differentiation and related minimization problems. In Section 2, we consider the linear regression model with stochastic regressors and obtain first an explicit relationship between the regression coefficients and the characteristics of the distribution of $(Y, X_1, \ldots, X_p)$. In Section 3, we obtain the unbiased estimators, using the relationship between regression coefficients. Our approach is semi-parametric in nature and does not assume any specific distribution, such as multivariate normal, which is commonly used in literature. Note that the unbiased estimator coincides with OLS estimator, as they are conditionally unbiased. Several known properties of the unbiased estimators are established using our approach. The dispersion matrix of the estimator is derived and also its unbiased estimator is also observed. The mean regression sum of squares and also the mean residual sum of squares are derived in a natural way. Using these results, a predictor of $E(Y)$ based on a new observation is also pointed out. The method is then extended to autoregressive $AR(p)$ model also. Finally, we discuss two examples, one based on simulated data and other one based on a real-life data, to show that that our approach yields the same least-squares estimates for the $AR(3)$ model.

2 Multiple Linear Regression Model

Let Y be the response or dependent variable, and $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p$ be p arbitrary explanatory (predictor variables) which are not necessarily independent. Consider the class $\mathcal{C}$ of linear regression model defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y|X_1, \ldots, X_p) &= b_0 + b_1 X_1 + \ldots + b_p X_p \\ &= b_0 + X_{(p)} b_{(p)}, (say), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $X_{(p)} = (X_1, \ldots, X_p)$ is the row-vector of predictor variables, and $b_{(p)} = (b_1, \ldots, b_p)^t$ is the column-vector of regression coefficients. Note that model (2.1) is rather general and

includes the class $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ of the usual linear regression models, defined by

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + \ldots + b_p X_p + \epsilon, \tag{2.2}$$

where ϵ is the error term independent of the X_i's. For example, let $Z \perp (X_1, X_2)$, $E(Z) = 0$ and consider the model

$$Y = b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2 + b_3 |X_1 - X_2| Z. \tag{2.3}$$

Then $E(Y|X_1, X_2) = b_1 X_1 + b_2 X_2$ which belongs to $\mathcal{C}$, but does not belong to $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon \subset \mathcal{C}$. As another example, consider the autoregressive $AR(p)$ process defined by

$$X_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \ldots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + \epsilon, \tag{2.4}$$

where the error term is independent of the X_i, $(t-p) \leq i \leq t$. Then

$$E(X_t|X_1, \ldots, X_{t-1}) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + \ldots + \phi_p X_{t-p}$$

which is again of the from given in (2.1). Note here the X_i are not independent. Thus, $AR(p)$ process belongs to $\mathcal{C}_\epsilon$ and hence also to $\mathcal{C}$.

Our aim here is to consider the linear regression model defined in (2.1) and the estimation of regression coefficients in a natural and simple way, with hardly a few assumptions on the model. For that purpose, we require the relationship among regression coefficients.

2.1 Relationships Among Regression Coefficients

Let $Y_0 = (Y - b_0)$ so that from (2.1)

$$E(Y_0|X_{(p)}) = X_{(p)} b_{(p)}; \quad E(Y_0) = E(X_{(p)}) b_{(p)}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $E(X_{(p)}) = (EX_1, \ldots, EX_p)$ is the row vector of the means of X_i's. First our aim is to obtain an explicit representation for the regression coefficients. From (2.5), we get

$$E(Y_0 X_1|X_{(p)}) = X_{(p)} X_1 b_{(p)}; \quad E(Y_0 X_1) = E(X_{(p)} X_1) b_{(p)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Hence, from (2.5) and (2.6)

$$Cov(Y_0, X_1) = E(X_{(p)} X_1) b_{(p)} - E(X_{(p)}) E(X_1) b_{(p)} = Cov(X_{(p)}, X_1) b_{(p)}, \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$Cov(X_{(p)}, X_1) = \big(Cov(X_1, X_1), Cov(X_2, X_1), \ldots, Cov(X_{(p)}, X_1)\big)$$

is the row-vector of covariances. Similarly, $Cov(Y_0, X_i) = Cov(X_{(p)}, X_i) b_{(p)}$, $2 \leq i \leq p$.

Since $Cov(Y_0, X_i) = Cov(Y, X_i)$, we can represent the above observations in a matrix form as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Cov(Y,X_1) \\ Cov(Y,X_2) \\ \vdots \\ Cov(Y,X_p) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11}, C_{21}, \ldots, C_{p1} \\ C_{12}, C_{22}, \ldots, C_{p2} \\ \vdots \\ C_{1p}, C_{2p}, \ldots, C_{pp} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_p \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.8}$$

where $C_{ij} = Cov(X_i, X_j),$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq p$. Let now

$$C_{yx}^t = (C_{y1}, C_{y2}, \ldots, C_{yp}) = (Cov(Y,X_1), \ldots, Cov(Y,X_p); \quad C_{xx} = (C_{ij}). \tag{2.9}$$

Then equation (2.8) can be written compactly as

$$C_{yx} = C_{xx} b_{(p)}, \tag{2.10}$$

which gives the relationship between regression coefficients and the associated covariances. Also from (2.5), we obtain

$$b_0 \quad = \quad E(Y) - E(X_{(p)}) b_{(p)}. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $E(Y|X_1, \ldots X_p) = b_0 + X_{(p)} b_{(p)}$ be the multiple linear regression model defined as in* (2.1). *Let $C_{yx}^t = (C_{y1}, C_{y2}, \ldots, C_{yp})$, with $C_{yj} = Cov(Y, X_j)$, and $C_{xx} = (C_{ij})$ with $C_{ij} = Cov(X_i, X_j), 1 \leq i, j \leq p$. Then the regression coefficients b_0 and $b_{(p)}$ satisfy*

$$C_{yx} = C_{xx} b_{(p)}, \quad \textit{and} \tag{2.12}$$

$$b_0 = \mu_y - \mu_{(p)} b_{(p)}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\mu_y = E(Y)$ and $\mu_{(p)} = (EX_1, \cdots, EX_p) = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p)$.

When C_{xx} is non-singular, we have a unique representation as

$$b_{(p)} = C_{xx}^{-1} C_{yx}. \tag{2.14}$$

If C_{xx} is singular, a g-inverse C_{xx}^- may be used to obtain a representation for $b_{(p)}$. That is, using Jordan decomposition, let $C_{xx} = PDP^t$, where P is an orthogonal matrix, and $D = diag[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p]$ is a diagonal matrix. Then a g-inverse of C_{xx} is $\overline{C}_{xx} = P\overline{D}P$, where $\overline{D} = diag[\overline{\lambda}_1, \ldots, \overline{\lambda}_p]$, and $\overline{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i^{-1}$ if $\lambda_i > 0$, and is zero if $\lambda_i = 0$.

Remarks 2.1 Observe that when $p = 1$ and $E(Y|X_1) = b_0 + b_1 X_1$, the regression coefficient b_1 is given by

$$b_1 = \frac{C_{y1}}{C_{11}} = \frac{Cov(Y, X_1)}{Var(X_1)},$$

a known result.

Similarly, from (2.14), the regression coefficients for the case $p = 2$ are given by

$$b_1 = \frac{C_{22}C_{y1} - C_{12}C_{y2}}{C_{11}C_{22} - C_{12}^2}; \quad b_2 = \frac{C_{11}C_{y2} - C_{12}C_{y1}}{C_{11}C_{22} - C_{12}^2} \tag{2.15}$$

and the intercept b_0 can be evaluated using (2.13) and (2.15).

Remarks 2.2 The following properties of the model in (2.1) follow now easily.

(i) It is known that $f(X) = E(Y|X)$ minimizes $E(Y - f(X))^2$. This shows that $E(Y|X) = X_{(p)}b_{(p)}$ minimizes the mean squared error when $X_{(p)}b_{(p)}$ is treated as an approximation to Y.

(ii) Also, when $C_{xx} > 0$ (positive definite)

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(Y - X_{(p)}b_{(p)}, X_{(p)}) &= Cov(Y, X_{(p)}) - Cov(X_{(p)}b_{(p)}, X_{(p)}) \\ &= C_{yx}^t - b_{(p)}^t Cov(X_{(p)}, X_{(p)}) \\ &= C_{yx}^t - b_{(p)}^t C_{xx} \\ &= C_{yx}^t - C_{yx}^t C_{xx}^{-1} C_{xx} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Indeed, we have from (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned} &Cov(Y - X_{(p)}b_{(p)}, X_j) = 0, \forall \;\; i \le j \le p \\ &\Rightarrow Cov(Y - X_{(p)}b_{(p)}, X_{(p)}d_{(q)}) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

for any vector $d_{(q)} = (d_1, \ldots, d_q)$. In particular, we have

$$Cov(Y - X_{(p)}b_{(p)}, X_{(p)}b_{(p)}) = 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Also,

$$Var(Y) \;\; = \;\; Var(Y - X_{(p)}b_{(p)} + X_{(p)}b_{(p)}) = Var(Y - X_{(p)}b_{(p)}) + Var(X_{(p)}b_{(p)}),$$

because of (2.18).

3 Estimation of Regression Coefficients

We start with a simple known result. Let (Y, X) be a bivariate random vector with with finite second moments and $\sigma_{yx} = Cov(Y, X)$. Suppose $(Y, X_1), \ldots, (Y_n, X_n)$ is a random sample on the bivariate vector (Y, X). Then it is well known that

$$S_{yx} = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Y_i - \overline{Y})(X_i - \overline{X}_i) \tag{3.1}$$

is an unbiased estimator of σ_{yx}.

Consider now the estimation of regression coefficients b_0 and $b_{(p)}$. Suppose we have a random sample $(Y_j, X_{1j}, \ldots, X_{pj}), 1 \leq i \leq n$, of size n on $(Y, X_1, X_2 \ldots, X_p)$. Let

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_j \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}; \qquad \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{(p)1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{(p)j} \\ \vdots \\ X_{(p)n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11}\ X_{21} \ldots X_{i1} \ldots X_{p1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{1j}\ X_{2j} \ldots, X_{ij} \ldots X_{pj} \\ \vdots \\ X_{1n}\ X_{2n} \ldots X_{in}, \ldots X_{pn} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $X_{(p)j} = (X_{1j}, X_{2j}, \ldots, X_{pj})$ denotes the row-vector of j-th observation on predictor variables and X_{ij} denotes the j-th observation on $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, from (2.1),

$$E(Y_j|\mathbf{X})) = E(Y_j|X_{(p)j}) = b_0 + X_{(p)j}b_{(p)},\ 1 \leq j \leq n. \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\overline{Y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j/n$, and $\overline{X_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij}/n, 1 \leq i \leq p$ be the sample means. Also, let

$$S_{yi} \quad = \quad \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(Y_j - \overline{Y})(X_{ij} - \overline{X}_i) = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{ij} - \overline{X}_i)Y_j \tag{3.4}$$

be the sample covariance between Y and X_i and

$$S_{lm} = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{lj} - \overline{X}_l)(X_{mj} - \overline{X}_m) \tag{3.5}$$

be the sample covariances between X_l and $X_m, 1 \leq l, m \leq p$. Then by (3.1), we have

$$E(S_{yi}) = C_{yi}; \quad E(S_{lm}) = C_{lm}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $C_{yi} = Cov(Y, X_i)$ and $C_{lm} = Cov(X_l, X_m), 1 \leq l, m \leq n$.

Let $S_{yx}^t = (S_{y1}, S_{y2}, \ldots, S_{yp})$ be the row-vector and $S_{xx} = (S_{ij})$ be the $(p \times p)$ matrix of sample covariances of explanatory variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p$. Then from (3.6),

$$E(S_{yx}) = C_{yx}; \quad E(S_{xx}) = C_{xx}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $C_{xx} = (C_{ij})$ defined in (2.8).
Using Theorem 2.1, an estimator $\hat{b}_{(p)}$ of the regression coefficient vector $b_{(p)}$ can be obtained by solving

$$S_{xx}\hat{b}_{(p)} = S_{yx}, \tag{3.8}$$

which is obtained by replacing the unbiased estimator of C_{yx} and C_{xx} in (2.12).
When S_{xx} is nonsingular, *a.e*, an explicit and unique estimator of $b_{(p)}$ is

$$\hat{b}_{(p)} = S_{xx}^{-1}S_{yx}. \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly, an estimator of b_0 can be obtained from (2.13) as,

$$\hat{b}_0 \;=\; \hat{\mu}_y - \widehat{\mu}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)} = \overline{Y} - \overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\overline{X}_{(p)} = (\overline{X}_1, \overline{X}_2, \ldots, \overline{X}_p)$.
For completeness, we next summarize the results discussed above.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $E(Y|X_{(p)}) = b_0 + X_{(p)}b_{(p)}$ and $(Y_j, X_{1j}, \ldots, X_{pj}), 1 \le j \le n$, be a random sample of size n on $(Y, X_1, \ldots, X_p)$. Let $S_{yx} = (S_{y1}, S_{y2}, \ldots, S_{yp})^t$ be the column vector of sample covariances between Y and X_i's, and $S_{xx} = (S_{ij})$ be the sample covariance matrix of X_i's. Let S_{xx} be non-singular a.e. Then the natural estimators of $b_{(p)}$ and b_0 are*

$$\hat{b}_{(p)} = S_{xx}^{-1}S_{yx}, \quad \textit{and} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\widehat{b}_0 = \overline{Y} - \overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}, \tag{3.12}$$

where $\overline{Y}$ and $\overline{X}_{(p)} = (\overline{X}_1, \ldots, \overline{X}_p)$ denote the sample means.

Remark 3.1 (i) When $p = 1$ and $b_1 = b$, we have $\widehat{b} = S_{yx}/S_{xx}$, and $\widehat{b_0} = \overline{Y} - \overline{X}\,\widehat{b}$, which coincide with the classical least-squares estimators for the simple linear regression model.
(ii) From (3.8), $\widehat{b}_{(p)}$ satisfies in general $S_{xx}\widehat{b}_{(p)} = S_{yx}$. It can be shown that $S_{yx} \xrightarrow{p} C_{yx}$, as $n \to \infty$, and $S_{xx} \xrightarrow{p} C_{xx}$, as a special case. Thus, by Slutsky's theorem, $\widehat{b}_{(p)} \xrightarrow{p} b_{(p)}$, a solution of the defining equation (2.12). Hence, $\widehat{b}_{(p)}$ is a consistent estimator for $b_{(p)}$.
(iii) Note the natural estimators $\widehat{b}_{(p)}$ and $\widehat{b}_0$ are obtained by substituting the unbiased estimator of C_{yx}, C_{xx} and μ_y and $\mu_{(p)}$ in the defining equations (2.12) and (2.13).
The next result shows that the natural estimators, given in Theorem 3.1, are indeed unbiased.

Let henceforth $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{(n,p)} = (X_{1(n)}^t, \ldots, X_{p(n)}^t) = (X_{ij})$ be the matrix of observations (see (3.2)), where $X_{i(n)} = (X_{i1}, \ldots, X_{in})$ denotes the row-vector of n observations on X_i.

Theorem 3.2 *Let S_{xx} be non-singular a.e. Then the estimators $\widehat{b}_{(p)}$ and $\widehat{b}_0$ are both conditionally unbiased and hence are unbiased.*

Proof. First note that, for $1 \leq i \leq p$,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(S_{yi}|\mathbf{X}) &= \frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n} E\left((X_{ij}-\overline{X}_i)Y_j|\mathbf{X}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{ij}-\overline{X}_i)E(Y_j|\mathbf{X}) \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{ij}-\overline{X}_i)\left(b_0+\sum_{r=1}^{p}X_{rj}b_r\right) \quad \text{(from (3.3))} \\
&= \frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{ij}-\overline{X}_i)\left(\sum_{r=1}^{p}X_{rj}b_r\right) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p}\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{ij}-\overline{X}_i)X_{rj}\right)b_r \quad \text{(interchanging the order)} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{p}(S_{ir})b_r \\
&= S_{i(p)}b_{(p)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $S_{i(p)} = (S_{i1}, \ldots, S_{ip})$. Hence,

$$E(S_{yx}|\mathbf{X}) = S_{xx}b_{(p)} \Longrightarrow E(S_{xx}^{-1}S_{yx}|\mathbf{X}) = E(\hat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) = b_{(p)}, \tag{3.13}$$

showing that $\hat{b}_{(p)}$ is conditionally unbiased.
Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\widehat{b}_0|\mathbf{X}) &= E(\overline{Y}|\mathbf{X}) - \overline{X}_{(p)}E(\hat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) \\
&= (b_0 + b_1\overline{X}_1 + \ldots + b_p\overline{X}_p|\mathbf{X}) - \overline{X}_{(p)}b_{(p)} \quad \text{(using (3.13))} \\
&= b_0 + \overline{X}_{(p)}b_{(p)} - \overline{X}_{(p)}b_{(p)} \\
&= b_0, \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\widehat{b}_0$ is also conditionally unbiased.
Hence, it follows, from (3.13) and (3.14), that

$$E(\hat{b}_{(p)}) = b_{(p)}; \quad E(\hat{b}_0) = b_0,$$

proving the result. □

Remark 3.2 (i) Let now $S_{xx} \geq 0$ *a.e.* (positive semidefinite) and $p > 1$. Then, the estimator $\widehat{b}_{(p)}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{xx}\widehat{b}_{(p)} &= S_{yx}, \text{ for all } (Y, \mathbf{X}) \\
\Longrightarrow E(S_{xx}\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) &= E(S_{yx}|\mathbf{X}), \text{ for all } \mathbf{X} \\
\Longrightarrow S_{xx}\left(E(\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) - b_{(p)}\right) &= 0, \text{ for all } \mathbf{X},
\end{aligned}$$

using (3.13). Since $S_{xx} \geq 0$ *a.e*, we have $E(\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) = b_{(p)}$ *a.e* and so $E(\widehat{b}_{(p)}) = b_{(p)}$, showing that $\widehat{b}_{(p)}$ is unbiased in this case also.

It is known that if $E(\widehat{\theta}_i) = \theta_i, i = 1, 2$, then $E(\widehat{\theta}_2/\widehat{\theta}_1) = (\theta_2/\theta_1)$ may not hold in general. However, it holds in the linear regression case, in view of the following general result on a property of unbiased estimators. Let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denote the distribution of X.

Lemma 3.1 *Let θ_1 be a characteristic of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, θ_2 be a characteristic of $\mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ and $\theta = (\theta_2/\theta_1)$. Let $\widehat{\theta}_1 = \widehat{\theta}_1(X)$ and $\widehat{\theta}_2 = \widehat{\theta}_2(Y, X)$ be respectively estimators of θ_1 and θ_2 such that $\widehat{\theta}_1 > 0$ a.e and $E(\widehat{\theta}_2|X) = \theta\widehat{\theta}_1$ a.e. Then $\widehat{\theta} = (\widehat{\theta}_2/\widehat{\theta}_1)$ is also unbiased for θ.*

Proof. Since

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = E(E(\hat{\theta}|X)) = E\left(E\left(\frac{\widehat{\theta}_2}{\widehat{\theta}_1}|X\right)\right) = E\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\theta}_1}E(\widehat{\theta}_2|X)\right) = E\left(\frac{1}{\widehat{\theta}_1}\theta\widehat{\theta}_1\right) = \theta, \qquad (3.15)$$

the result follows. □

Remark 3.3 (i) Note in Lemma 3.1, $\widehat{\theta}_1$ is not necessarily unbiased. If in addition it is unbiased, then we have

$$E\left(\frac{\widehat{\theta}_2}{\widehat{\theta}_1}\right) = \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1} = \frac{E(\widehat{\theta}_2)}{E(\widehat{\theta}_1)},$$

an interesting result. This is indeed the case for the regression coefficient b_1, as seen next.
(ii) Let $p = 1$ and $n \geq 2$ so that $E(Y|X) = b_0 + b_1X$. Then, as seen earlier,

$$b_1 = \frac{C_{yx}}{C_{xx}} = \frac{\theta_2}{\theta_1}(\text{say}); \quad \hat{b}_1 = \frac{S_{yx}}{S_{xx}},$$

where $E(S_{yx}) = C_{yx}, E(S_{xx}) = C_{xx}$. Also, by Theorem 3.2

$$E(\hat{b}_1) = E(\frac{S_{yx}}{S_{xx}}) = \frac{C_{yx}}{C_{xx}} = b_1.$$

The above result holds because the conditions $S_{xx} > 0$ *a.e.* and from (3.13)

$$E(\widehat{\theta}_2|X) = E(S_{yx}|\mathbf{X}) = S_{xx}b_1 = \widehat{\theta}_1 b_1$$

of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied.

Let $b^t = (b_0, b_{(p)})$ denote the row-vector of regression coefficients and 1_n denote the n-dimensional column vector with all its entries equal to 1. The least-squares estimator of b, when $\mathbf{X}$ is fixed (called fixed design) is

$$\widehat{b}_l = (\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{Y}, \qquad (3.16)$$

where $\mathbf{X}_1 = (1_n \vdots \mathbf{X})$, $\mathbf{Y}^t = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ and $\mathbf{X}$ is defined in (3.2). We now have following result.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $p \geq 1$ and $S_{xx} > 0$a.e. Then the unbiased estimator $\widehat{b}_u^t = (\widehat{b}_0, \widehat{b}_{(p)})^t$, defined in Theorem 3.1, coincides with the least squares estimator $\widehat{b}_l$, defined in* (3.16)*, for the fixed design.*

Proof. Note first that

$$\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1_n^t 1_n & 1_n^t\mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{X}^t 1_n & \mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n & n\overline{X}_{(p)} \\ n\overline{X}_{(p)}^t & \mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X} \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the formula (see Seber(1984), p. 519) for the inverse of a partitioned matrix, namely,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^t & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + FG^{-1}F^t & -FG^{-1} \\ -G^{-1}F^t & G^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $F = A^{-1}B$ and $G = D - B^tF$, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1}\overline{X}_{(p)}^t & \frac{-1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1} \\ \frac{-1}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1}\overline{X}_{(p)}^t & \frac{1}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.17}$$

Note for example that the (i,j)- th element of the matrix $G = (\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X}) - n\overline{X}_{(p)}^t\overline{X}_{(p)}$ is

$$G_{ij} = \sum_{r=1}^{n} X_{ir}X_{jr} - n\overline{X}_i\overline{X}_j = (n-1)S_{ir}.$$

Hence, $G = (n-1)S_{xx}$. The other elements of the matrix in the rhs of (3.17) can easily be computed. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{Y} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1}\overline{X}_{(p)}^t & \frac{-1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1} \\ \frac{-1}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1}\overline{X}_{(p)}^t & \frac{1}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n & \overline{Y} \\ \mathbf{X}^t & Y \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Y} + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1}(n\overline{X}_{(p)}^t\overline{Y} - \mathbf{X}^tY) \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1}\mathbf{X}^tY - \frac{n}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1}\overline{X}_{(p)}^t\overline{Y} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \overline{Y} - \overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1}S_{yx} \\ S_{xx}^{-1}S_{yx} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

using the fact $(\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{Y} - n\overline{X}_{(p)}\overline{Y}) = (n-1)S_{yx}$. The result now follows. □

We next find the covariance matrix $D(\widehat{b}_u) = Cov(\widehat{b}_u, \widehat{b}_u)$ of $\widehat{b}_u = (\widehat{b}_0, \widehat{b}_{(p)})^t$.

Theorem 3.4 *Assume, in the model* (2.1)*, $Var(Y|X_{(p)}) = \sigma_{y|x}^2$, which does not depend on $X_{(p)}$, and S_{xx}^{-1} exists. Then the covariance matrix of $\widehat{b}_u$ is*

$$\begin{aligned}
D(\widehat{b}_u) &= \sigma_{y|x}^2 E(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1} \\
&= \sigma_{y|x}^2 E \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1}\overline{X}_{(p)}^t & \frac{-1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1} \\ \frac{-1}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1}\overline{X}_{(p)}^t & \frac{1}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Proof. Since $E(\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X}) = b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\widehat{b}_u) &= E(D(\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X})) \\ &= E\begin{pmatrix} Var(\widehat{b}_0|\mathbf{X}) & Cov(\widehat{b}_0, \widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) \\ (Cov(\widehat{b}_0, \widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}))^t & D(\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

First we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) &= D(S_{xx}^{-1}S_{yx}|\mathbf{X}) \\ &= S_{xx}^{-1}D(S_{yx}|\mathbf{X})S_{xx}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Observe now,

$$\begin{aligned} Cov(S_{yr}, S_{ys}|\mathbf{X}) &= Cov\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{rj} - \overline{X}_r)Y_j, \frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(X_{sk} - \overline{X}_s)Y_k|\mathbf{X}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}\sum_{j,k}(X_{rj} - \overline{X}_r)(X_{sk} - \overline{X}_s)Cov((Y_j, Y_k)|\mathbf{X}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Since $Var(Y|X_{(p)}) = \sigma^2_{y|x}$, we have

$$Cov\left((Y_j, Y_k)|\mathbf{X}\right) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2_{y|x}, \text{ if } k = j \\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, for $r, s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$,

$$Cov\left((S_{yr}, S_{ys})|\mathbf{X}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)^2}\sum_{j}(X_{rj} - \overline{X}_r)(X_{sj} - \overline{X}_s) = \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}S_{rs}$$

and

$$D(S_{yx}|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}S_{xx}. \tag{3.22}$$

Hence, we obtain from (3.20)

$$D(\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}S_{xx}^{-1}. \tag{3.23}$$

We obtain next $Var(\widehat{b}_0|\mathbf{X})$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\widehat{b}_0|\mathbf{X}) &= Var(\overline{Y} - \overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) \\ &= Var(\overline{Y}|\mathbf{X}) + Var(\overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) - 2Cov(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By assumption,

$$Var(\overline{Y}|\mathbf{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{n} \tag{3.25}$$

and using (3.23)

$$Var(\overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) \;=\; \overline{X}D(\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X})\overline{X}^t_{(p)} = \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S^{-1}_{xx}\overline{X}^t_{(p)}. \tag{3.26}$$

We next show that $Cov(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) = 0$. Since

$$S_{yk} = \frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{kj} - \overline{X}_k)Y_j,$$

we can write

$$S_{yx} = \frac{1}{(n-1)}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{(p)j} - \overline{X}_{(p)})^t Y_j,$$

where $X_{(p)j} = (X_{1j}, X_{2j}, \ldots, X_{pj})$, and $\overline{X}_{(p)} = (\overline{X}_1, \overline{X}_2, \ldots, \overline{X}_p)$, as before.
As Y_j's are iid, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(\overline{Y}, \overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) &= Cov(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1}^{n}Y_j, \frac{1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S^{-1}_{xx}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{(p)j} - \overline{X}_{(p)})^t Y_j|\mathbf{X}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} Cov(\frac{1}{n}Y_j, \frac{1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S^{-1}_{xx}(X_{(p)j} - \overline{X}_{(p)})^t|\mathbf{X}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{1}{n(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S^{-1}_{xx}(X_{(p)j} - \overline{X}_{(p)})^t Cov(Y_j, Y_j|\mathbf{X}) \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{n(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S^{-1}_{xx}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(X_{(p)j} - \overline{X}_{(p)})^t \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Therefore, from (3.24) – (3.26), we have

$$Var(\widehat{b}_0|\mathbf{X}) = \sigma^2_{y|x}\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S^{-1}_{xx}\overline{X}^t_{(p)}\right). \tag{3.28}$$

Finally, we compute

$$Cov(\widehat{b}_0, \widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) = \left(Cov(\widehat{b}_0, e_1^t\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}), \ldots, Cov(\widehat{b}_0, e_p^t\widehat{b}_p|\mathbf{X})\right), \tag{3.29}$$

where e_j^t is the $(1 \times p)$ row vector whose j-th entry is 1 and all other entries are zeros.
Note, for $1 \le r \le p$,

$$Cov(\widehat{b}_0, e_r^t\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) = Cov(\overline{Y}, e_r^t\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) - Cov(\overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}, e_r^t\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}). \tag{3.30}$$

It can be shown, as in (3.27), that

$$Cov(\overline{Y}, e_r^t\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) = 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Also, for $1 \leq r \leq p$,

$$Cov(\overline{X}_{(p)}\widehat{b}_{(p)}, e_j^t\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) \;=\; \overline{X}_{(p)}D(\widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X})e_r = \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1}e_r. \tag{3.32}$$

Hence,

$$Cov(\widehat{b}_0, \widehat{b}_{(p)}|\mathbf{X}) \;=\; -\frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1}(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_p) = -\frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}\overline{X}_{(p)}S_{xx}^{-1}. \tag{3.33}$$

Substituting (3.28), (3.33) and (3.23) in (3.19) and using (3.17), the result follows. □

3.1 Analysis of Residuals and Regression Sum of Squares

Consider the model (3.3) defined by

$$E(Y_j|X_{(p)j}) = b_0 + X_{(p)j}b_{(p)},\ Var(Y_j|X_{(p)j}) = \sigma^2_{y|x},\ 1 \leq j \leq n. \tag{3.34}$$

Assume $n > (p+1)$, and let $b^t = (b_0, b_{(p)})$, as before. Then the above model can be written as

$$E(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X_1}) = \mathbf{X_1}b;\ \ D(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X_1}) = \sigma^2_{y|x}I_n, \tag{3.35}$$

where $\mathbf{Y}^t = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$, $\mathbf{X_1} = (1_n \vdots \mathbf{X})$ and I_n is the identity matrix of order n.
Then, in view of Theorem 3.3,

$$\widehat{b}_u = (\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X_1})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{Y}.$$

Let $e = (\mathbf{Y} - \widehat{\mathbf{Y}})$, where $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{X}_1\widehat{b}_u$, denote the residual vector. Then

$$e = \left[I_n - \mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X_1})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t\right]\mathbf{Y} = M\mathbf{Y}\ \ \text{(say)},$$

where $M = M(\mathbf{X}) = \left[I_n - \mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X_1})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t\right]$ is symmetric and idempotent. It is also well known (see Draper and Smith (2002)) that $tr(M) = (n-p-1)$ and $M\mathbf{X}_1 = 0$ a.s.
Note also that $e^te = \mathbf{Y}^tM\mathbf{Y}$ and hence the the mean residual sum of squares is

$$\begin{aligned}
MSS_E &= E(e^te) \\
&= E\left\{E(\mathbf{Y}^tM\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_1)\right\} \\
&= E\left\{(E(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_1))^tM(E(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_1)) + tr(MD(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_1))\right\} \\
&= E\left\{b^t\mathbf{X}_1{}^tM\mathbf{X}_1b + tr(M)\sigma^2_{y|x}I_n\right\} \\
&= \sigma^2_{y|x}tr(M) \\
&= (n-p-1)\sigma^2_{y|x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Thus, an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^2_{y|x}$ is

$$\widehat{\sigma^2}_{y|x} = \frac{SS_E}{(n-p-1)} = \frac{e^te}{(n-p-1)}. \tag{3.37}$$

Indeed, we have (see (3.36)) shown that

$$E(\widehat{\sigma^2}_{y|x}|\mathbf{X}_1) = \sigma^2_{y|x} \tag{3.38}$$

so that it is also conditionally unbiased.

Theorem 3.5 Let $D(\widehat{b}_u)$, given in (3.18), be the dispersion matrix unbiased estimator $\widehat{b}_u$. The its unbiased estimator is given by

$$\widehat{D}(\widehat{b}_u) = \widehat{\sigma^2}_{y|x}(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}, \tag{3.39}$$

where $\widehat{\sigma^2}_{y|x}$ is defined in (3.37).

Proof. Using (3.38), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\widehat{D}(\widehat{b}_u)) =& E(E(\widehat{\sigma^2}_{y|x}(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}|\mathbf{X}_1)) \\
=& E(E(\widehat{\sigma^2}_{y|x}|\mathbf{X}_1)(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}) \\
=& E(\sigma^2_{y|x}(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}) \\
=& \sigma^2_{y|x}E((\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}) \\
=& D(\widehat{b}_u)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence the result follows.

3.2 Mean Regression Sum of Squares

Note first that

$$\mathbf{X}_1^t e = \mathbf{X}_1^t M\mathbf{Y} = (M\mathbf{X}_1)^t\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{0} \implies \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}^t e = \mathbf{0}\ a.s.$$

In particular, we have $\mathbf{1}_n^t e = 0 \implies \overline{Y} = \overline{\widehat{Y}} a.s.$
In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(\widehat{b}_u, e|\mathbf{X}_1) &= Cov((\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X_1})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_1) - Cov(\widehat{b}_u, X\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X}_1) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X_1})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t D(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X_1}) - D(\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X}_1)\mathbf{X}_1^t \\
&= \sigma^2_{y|x}(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X_1})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t - \sigma^2_{y|x}(\mathbf{X}_1^t\mathbf{X_1})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_1^t \\
&= \mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Hence,

$$Cov(\widehat{b}_u, e) = E\big(Cov(\widehat{b}_u, e|\mathbf{X_1}\big) + Cov\big(E(\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X_1}), E(e|\mathbf{X_1})\big) = \mathbf{0},$$

using (3.40) and $E(e|\mathbf{X_1}) = \mathbf{X_1}b - \mathbf{X_1}E(\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X_1}) = \mathbf{0}$ (see (3.13) and (3.16)).
Note (3.40) also implies $Cov(\widehat{Y}, e|\mathbf{X_1}) = \mathbf{0}$. Also,

$$\mathbf{Y}^t\mathbf{Y} = (e + \widehat{\mathbf{Y}})^t(e + \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}) = \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}^t\widehat{\mathbf{Y}} + e^t e,$$

which implies

$$E(\mathbf{Y}^t\mathbf{Y} - n\bar{Y}^2) \quad = \quad E(\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}^t\widehat{\mathbf{Y}} - n\bar{Y}^2) + E(e^te). \tag{3.41}$$

That is,

$$MSS_T \quad = \quad MSS_R + MSS_E,$$

where MSS_T and MSS_R respectively denote the mean total sum of squares and mean regression sum of squares.

The mean regression sum of squares MSS_R is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}^t\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}) &= E\left\{E(\widehat{b}_u^t\mathbf{X_1}^t\mathbf{X_1}\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X_1})\right\} \\ &= E\left\{(E(\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X_1}))^t\mathbf{X_1}^t\mathbf{X_1}E(\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X_1}) + tr(\mathbf{X_1}^t\mathbf{X_1})D(\widehat{b}_u|\mathbf{X_1})\right\} \\ &= E\left\{b^t\mathbf{X_1}^t\mathbf{X_1}b + \sigma^2_{y|x}tr(I_{p+1})\right\} \\ &= E\left\{b^t\mathbf{X_1}^t\mathbf{X_1}b + (p+1)\sigma^2_{y|x}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$E\left(\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}^t\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}}{p+1}\right) = \frac{1}{(p+1)}E(b^t(\mathbf{X_1}^t\mathbf{X_1})b) + \sigma^2_{y|x}. \tag{3.43}$$

Form (3.41), (3.36) and (3.42), we obtain the mean total sum of squares as

$$E(\mathbf{Y}^t\mathbf{Y}) = E(b^t(\mathbf{X_1}^t\mathbf{X_1})b) + n\sigma^2_{y|x}$$

leading to

$$E(\frac{\mathbf{Y}^t\mathbf{Y}}{n}) = \frac{1}{n}E(b^t(\mathbf{X_1}^t\mathbf{X_1})b) + \sigma^2_{y|x}.$$

Finally, we define the mean coefficient of determination as

$$\mathbb{R}^2_m = E(R^2_0) = E\left(\frac{\sum_1^n(\widehat{Y}_i - \overline{Y})^2}{\sum_1^n(Y_i - \overline{Y})^2}\right),$$

so that R^2_0 is an unbiased estimator of $\mathbb{R}^2_m$. Note $\mathbb{R}_m$ denote the mean multiple correlation coefficient.

Finally, consider the problem of prediction of $E(Y_0)$ or that of Y_0, a future observation corresponding to $X_{(p)0}$, the new observed vector of predictors can easily be considered. That is, $\widehat{Y}_0 = X_{(p)0}\widehat{b}_{(p)}$ may be considered as a predictor of $E(Y_0)$ or that of Y_0. In that case, we have

$$Var(\widehat{Y}_0) \quad = \quad X_{(p)0}D(\widehat{b}_{(p)})X^t_{(p)0} = \frac{\sigma^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}X_{(p)0}E(S^{-1}_{xx})X^t_{(p)0}.$$

Also, an estimated value of $Var(\widehat{Y}_0)$ is

$$\widehat{Var}(\widehat{Y}_0) = \frac{\widehat{\sigma}^2_{y|x}}{(n-1)}X_{(p)0}S^{-1}_{xx}X^t_{(p)0},$$

which could be used to obtain prediction intervals for Y_0 or that of $E(Y_0)$.

4 Application to $AR(p)$ Process

In this section, we show that the unbiasedness approach works for $AR(p)$ time series models also. Consider $AR(p)$ process so that

$$Y_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t, \tag{4.1}$$

where ε_t's are i.i.d with $E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$ and $V(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2$. Note $AR(p)$ process satisfies

$$E(Y_t | Y_{t-1}, \ldots, Y_{t-p}) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 Y_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_{t-p}, \tag{4.2}$$

which is of the form (2.1). Let $E(Y_t) = \eta$, and from model (4.2),

$$C_{yx} = \begin{pmatrix} Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-1}) \\ Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-2}) \\ \vdots \\ Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-p}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(1) \\ \gamma(2) \\ \vdots \\ \gamma(p) \end{pmatrix} \quad ,$$

and

$$C_{xx} = (Cov(Y_{t-i}, Y_{t-j})) \quad = \quad (\gamma_{(j-i)}),$$

for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq p$.
Then from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma(0) & \ldots & \gamma(p-1) \\ \gamma(1) & \ldots & \gamma(p-2) \\ & \vdots & \\ \gamma(p-1) & \ldots & \gamma(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(1) \\ \gamma(2) \\ \vdots \\ \gamma(p) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.3}$$

or equivalently dividing by $\gamma(0) = Var(Y_t)$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \ldots & \rho(p-1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \ldots & \rho(p-2) \\ & \vdots & & \\ \rho(p-1) & \rho(p-2) & \ldots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(1) \\ \rho(2) \\ \vdots \\ \rho(p) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\phi_0 = \eta - (\eta, \ldots, \eta) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_p \end{pmatrix} = \eta(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_j). \tag{4.5}$$

Note also that (4.4) is nothing but Yule-Walker equations.
Assume we have a sample $(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)$, $n \geq p+1$, that satisfies (4.1). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{p+1} &= \phi_o + \phi_1 Y_p + \ldots + \phi_p Y_1 + \varepsilon_{p+1} \\ Y_{p+2} &= \phi_o + \phi_{p+1} Y_{p+1} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_2 + \varepsilon_{p+2} \\ &\vdots \\ Y_n &= \phi_o + \phi_1 Y_{n-1} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_{n-p} + \varepsilon_n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Alternatively, the equations in (4.2) can also be written as, for $1 \leq j \leq n-p$,

$$E(Y_{p+j} | Y_{p+j-1,\ldots,Y_j}) = \phi_o + \phi_1 Y_{p+j-1} + \ldots + \phi_p Y_j. \tag{4.7}$$

Let now

$$\mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{p+1} \\ Y_{p+2} \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_p \end{pmatrix} \; ; \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} Y_p & Y_{p-1} & \ldots & Y_1 \\ Y_{p+1} & Y_p & \ldots & Y_2 \\ & \vdots & & \\ Y_{n-1} & Y_{n-2} & \ldots & Y_{n-p} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us introduce the following notations. Define for $0 \leq i \leq p$,

$$\overline{Y}_{p-i+1} = \frac{1}{(n-p)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-p} Y_{p-i+k},$$

the mean of values in i-th column of X. Also, let for $1 \leq i, j \leq p$,

$$S_{i,j} = \frac{1}{(n-p-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-p} (Y_{p-i+k} - \overline{Y}_{p-i+1})(Y_{p-j+k} - \overline{Y}_{p-j+1})$$

be the sample covariance between the i-th and j-th columns of X.
Similarly, for $1 \leq j \leq p$, let

$$S_{p+1,j} = \frac{1}{(n-p-1)} \sum_{l=1}^{n-p} (Y_{p+l} - \overline{Y}_{p+1})(Y_{p-j+l} - \overline{Y}_{p-j+1})$$

be the sample covariance between Y and j-th column of X. Then

$$S_{y,x} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{p+1,1} \\ S_{p+1,2} \\ \vdots \\ S_{p+1,p} \end{pmatrix}; \quad S_{xx} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,1} & S_{1,2} & \ldots & S_{1,p} \\ S_{2,1} & S_{2,2} & \ldots & S_{2,p} \\ & \vdots & & \\ S_{p,1} & S_{p,2} & \ldots & S_{p,p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using our formulas in Theorem 3.1 for estimation of ϕ , we get,

$$S_{xx} \widehat{\phi} = S_{yx}. \tag{4.8}$$

That is, $\hat{\phi}_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq p$, satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_{1,1} & S_{1,2} & \dots & S_{1,p} \\ S_{2,1} & S_{2,2} & \dots & S_{2,p} \\ & \vdots & & \\ S_{p,1} & S_{p,2} & \dots & S_{p,p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi}_1 \\ \hat{\phi}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\phi}_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{p+1,1} \\ S_{p+1,2} \\ \vdots \\ S_{p+1,p} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.9}$$

Let now $\overline{Y}^*_{(p)} = (\overline{Y}_p, \overline{Y}_{p-1}, \dots, \overline{Y}_1)$, so that we obtain from (4.5),

$$\hat{\phi}_0 = \overline{Y}_{p+1} - \overline{Y}^*_{(p)} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\phi}_1 \\ \hat{\phi}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\phi}_p \ , \end{pmatrix} = \overline{Y}_{p+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\overline{Y}_j\ \hat{\phi}_{p+1-j}). \tag{4.10}$$

Then the fitted $AR(p)$ model is

$$Y_t = \hat{\phi}_0 + \hat{\phi}_1 Y_{t-1} + \cdots + \hat{\phi}_p Y_{t-p}, \tag{4.11}$$

where $\hat{\phi}_j, 0 \leq j \leq p$, satisfy (4.9) and (4.10).

Remark 4.1 Using our method, we have given a rigorous proof for the unbiased estimators of the parameters of the $AR(p)$ model. This is another advantage of our approach.

4.1 Numerical examples

Finally, we discuss in this section two numerical examples, one based on simulated data and the other based on real-life data, for estimating the parameters of the $AR(3)$ model. We show our results yield the estimates that coincide with classical estimates.

Example 4.1 We simulated n=100 data from $AR(3)$ model with the parameters (0.4, 0.1, 0.3), using the R package. Based on the simulated data, we fitted the $AR(3)$ model and estimated regression coefficients, including the intercept term, using our results. The results are given below:

Parameters	Least-squares estimate	Yule-Walker estimate	Unbiased estimate
ϕ_0	0.0208196	-	0.0208196
ϕ_1	0.2875461	0.2849	0.287546
ϕ_2	0.0935658	0.0941	0.0935658
ϕ_3	0.3889368	0.3542	0.3889368

Example 4.2 The data in feet on "Level of lake Huron data " (Brockwell and Davis (2006), p. 555)) is fitted for $AR(3)$ model. The results are given below .

Parameters	Least-squares estimate	Yule-Walker estimate	Unbiased estimate
ϕ_0	1.6460378	-	1.6460378
ϕ_1	1.0719382	1.088704	1.0719382
ϕ_2	-0.365349	-0.404544	-0.365349
ϕ_3	0.1087551	0.130754	0.1087551

From both the examples above for the $AR(3)$ model, we see that the unbiased estimates obtained using our results coincide with the least-squares and the Yule-Walker estimates, as expected.

Acknowledgments. The author is thankful to Saumya Bansal, Neha Rani Gupta and Swati Verma for some useful discussions and the computational work.

References

Brockwell, P. J. and Davis, R. A. (2006). *Time Series: Theory and Methods.* Second Edition, Springer, New York.

Chatterji, S. and Hadi, A. S. (2006). *Regression Analysis by Examples.* John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey.

Draper, N and Smith, H. (2003). *Applied Regression Analysis.* Third Edition, Wiley, New York.

Quenonuille, M. H. (1950). An application of least squares to family diet surveys. *Econometrica*, 18,27-44.

Yan, X. and Su, X. G. (2009). *Linear Regression Analysis: Theory and Computing.* World Scientific Publishing Co, Pte Ltd, Singapore.